## 

1	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814		
2			
3			
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
5	(>10) 00 : 2>00		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7	omed states of rimerica		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:20-CR-00123-JAM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	STEVEN LAWRENCE ROBINSON, and, NATHANIEL OPONDO HUBBERT,	DATE: September 1, 2020 TIME: 9:30 a.m.	
15 16	Defendants.	COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
17	CETIDLII A THOM		
18			
19			
20	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until Octobe		
21	27, 2020 at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between September 1, 2020, and October 27, 2020, under		
22	Local Code T4.		
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
24	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case		
25	includes over eight gigabytes of evidence in electronic form, including police reports, pictures,		
26	multiple hours of video footage, laboratory reports, search warrants, and a forensic cellular		
27	phone report. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made		
28	available for inspection and copying.		
_	b) Counsel for defendants de	sire additional time consult with their clients, to review	

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME
PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

the existing discovery, to discuss potential resolutions with their clients, and to otherwise prepare for trial.

- c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of September 1, 2020 to October 27, 2020, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

## Case 2:20-cr-00123-JAM Document 27 Filed 08/27/20 Page 3 of 3

1	1 //		
2	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
3	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial		
4	4 must commence.		
5	5 IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
6	Dates. 11agast 20, 2020	ACGREGOR W. SCOTT	
7	7	United States Attorney	
8		s/ ADRIAN T. KINSELLA ADRIAN T. KINSELLA	
9		Assistant United States Attorney	
10	Dated: Hagast 20, 2020	S/ MEGAN HOPKINS MEGAN HOPKINS	
11	11	Assistant Federal Defender Counsel for Defendant	
12		TEVEN LAWRENCE ROBINSON	
13		s/ KRESTA DALY	
14	14   K	KRESTA DALY Counsel for Defendant	
15	15 N	NATHANIEL OPONDO HUBBERT	
16	FINDINGS AND ORDER		
17	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 26 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2020.		
18 19	10		
20	THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ		
20	ONIT	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			